Keynote

Combustion of future marine fuels

Prof. (Emeritus), Dr., Koji TAKASAKI, Kyushu University, Japan

Especially for <u>young engineers and students</u> <u>*Visualization could help your study.*</u>





Contents

- 1. <u>What kind of marine fuel would appear after 2020</u>? (<u>0.5% Sulfur global cap from 2020</u>)
 - Ignition and combustion of

low-sulfur but <u>high-aromatic</u> Fuel

- 2. Combustion of alternative sulfur-free liquid fuels
 - Methanol
 - Liquid Propane (LPG)
- 3. Natural gas (methane) combustion
 - Lean-burn (Otto-cycle) type
 - GI (high-pressure Gas Injection) (Diesel-cycle) type

Conventional Oil Refinery (Red lines: realistic ways to reduce sulfur in fuel)



the rest of FCC process would be mixed., the fuel becomes higher aromatic. 3

It is **NOT** guaranteed that low-sulfur fuel always burns better than high sulfur one.

Difference of Ignition and combustion between paraffinic and **aromatic** fuel aroma



high-aroma



Sulfur %, Aromatic % and Ignition Quality (Cetane Index) of Japanese LCO Samples



Difference of Ignition and combustion between paraffinic and **aromatic** fuel (Ignition delay, soot formation and after-burning by high aromatic LCO)



Visual test engine

Bore/Stroke : 190 mm/ 350 mm Two-stroke, Super-charged Engine speed : 400 rpm



Fuel injection conditions are just the same for both fuels Inj. Press. **: 70** MPa

LCO

LIP

LCO

0 [deg. after injection]

Automobile GO

Difference of Ignition and combustion between paraffinic and **aromatic** fuel (Ignition delay, soot formation and after-burning by high aromatic LCO)



<u>Visual test engine</u> Bore/Stroke : 190 mm/ 350 mm Two-stroke, Super-charged Engine speed : 400 rpm



Fuel injection conditions are just the same for both fuels Inj. Press. **: 70** MPa



Contents

- 1. What kind of marine fuel would appear after 2020 ? (0.5% Sulfur global cap from 2020)
 - Ignition and combustion of

low-sulfur but high-aromatic Fuel

2. <u>Combustion of alternative sulfur-free liquid fuels</u>

- Methanol
- Liquid Propane (LPG)

2. Natural gas (methane) combustion

- Lean-burn (Otto-cycle) type
- GI (high-pressure Gas Injection) (Diesel-cycle) type

<u>Reduction of EEDI by changing the fuel</u>

• **EEDI** (Energy Efficiency Design Index) : CO2 g \checkmark ton ·mile = $\frac{Engine Power (kW) \times SFC (g/kWh) \times C_F}{DW(T (tors) \times Speed (mile (k)))}$

DWT (ton) x Speed (mile/h)

- • 2020 \sim -20%, 2025 \sim -30% for newly built ships

<u>EEDI reduction</u> by natural gas, LPG and methanol

MGO base • • MGO 100% Natural gas 76% (-24%) LPG 86% (-14%) Methanol 92% (-8%)

```
HFO base ••
```

```
HFO 100%
```

Natural gas 74% (-26%) LPG 84% (-16%) Methanol 89% (-11%)

Calculated EEDI ratio with Lower calorific value and C_F

- As a common sense for the conventional fuels, <u>poor ignition</u> quality represents the <u>poor combustion</u> quality like LCO case. But • •
 - the following future fuels, Methanol, LPG and natural gas have poor self-ignitability and pilot-injection of diesel fuel is necessary.
 - However, <u>once ignited, they show a good combustion state</u> <u>after that</u>.

Characteristics of Diesel fuel, natural gas and methanol



<u>Fuel</u>	<u>Methanol</u>	Natural Gas	<u>Diesel</u>
Density (kg/l)*	0.79	0,44 (as LNG	0,85
Boiling point (°C)	65	-162	150-370
Flash point (°C)	11	-188	min. 60
Auto ignition (°C)	464 *	540 *	240
Viscosity cSt at 20°C	~ 0,6	na	~ 13,5
Octane RON/MON	109/89	120/120	-
Cetane No.	3*	- *	45-55
LHV (MJ/kg)	20	50**	42
Flammability Limits, Vol%	7-36	5-15	1-6
Flame Speed (cm/s)	52	37	37
Heat of Evaporation (kJ/kg)	1178	na	233
Stoichiometric Air-Fuel Ratio	6,45	17,2	14,7
Adiabatic flame temp. (°C)	1910	1950	2100

* High auto-ignition point (low CN) needs a diesel fuel pilot injection.





Renewable Methanol possible Bio material Power to liquid (CO2 zero count)



Methanol spray combustion compared to GO and methane (gas) <u>Distinguish between ignition and combustion quality.</u>

Less luminous flame represents clean combustion with less soot formation.



Methanol spray combustion compared with GO and methane (gas)

by Shadowgraph technique

Gas Oil Inj. Hole Dia. **0.5** [mm] Inj. Press. **89** [MPa]

Methanol CH3OH + Pilot Inj. Hole Dia. <u>0.8</u> [mm] Inj. Press. **57** [MPa]

Reference Methane CH4 + Pilot Inj. Hole Dia. **1.0** [mm] Inj. Press. **30** [MPa]



Gas Oil

Inj. hole dia. 0.5 [mm] Inj. press. 90 [MPa]

Methanol

Inj. hole dia. **0.8** [mm] Inj. press. **57** [MPa]



3.6 deg.

9 deg.

12 deg.

22 deg. (after inj. statt)

<u>Combustion rate of Methanol</u> in the visual apparatus compared with GO case





15

Methanol fueled methanol tankers are already in service.



Methanol (Zero sulfur) (Zero aroma)







Cylinders	7
Bore	0.5 m
Connection rod	2.214 m
Stroke	2.214 m
Compression volume	16 l/cyl
Power	8,470 kW
MEP	16.9 bar
Speed	99 rpm
Max. pressure	185 bar

Improvement of thermal efficiency and NOx

A design of LPG career propelled by a *LPG* fueled low-speed two-stroke engine (LPG for fuel is stored at 18 bar (not cooled) on the deck and is sent to the engine as liquid.)



<u>LPG</u> combustion · Table : Properties of propane compared with Gas Oil



- Unlike the natural gas case, propane is convenient as it can be injected as liquid phase (LPG) at a high injection pressure similarly to diesel fuel.
- Some reduction of emissions could be obtained compared with gas oil (GO) by the experiment (right).

Emissions from GO and LPG combustion

	GO	Liquid propane
CO (ppm)	38	16
HC (ppm)	84	72
NOx (ppm)	320	268 (-16%)

LPG (Liquid Propane) injection + pilot

LPG (+ pilot) spray combustion compared with Gas Oil



Direct Photos

Shadowgraph

 After ignition, propane flame quickly expands and burns rather fast similarly to Gas Oil spray. Contents

- 1. What kind of marine fuel would appear after 2020 ? (0.5% Sulfur global cap from 2020)
 - Ignition and combustion of

low-sulfur but high-aromatic Fuel

- 2. Combustion of alternative sulfur-free liquid fuels
 - Methanol
 - Liquid Propane (LPG)

3. Natural gas (methane) combustion

- Lean-burn (Otto-cycle) type
- GI (high-pressure Gas Injection) (Diesel-cycle) type

<i>Table 1:</i> <i>Categorization</i>	Lean-burn (pre-mixed) (low-pressure gas supply)	GI (Gas Injection) (high press. gas injection)
Medium-speed 4-st.	Currently all	Possible but not yet applied
Low-speed 2-st.	Existing	Existing
	Otto-cycle type	Diesel-cycle type
	gas engine	gas engine
	Pre-mixture (Natural Gas + Air)	Air

Pro's:

- Low pressure gas supply
- Low NOx

Con's:

- Output limited by knocking
 - Sensitive to Methane Number

Pro's:

- *Knocking-free,* pre-ignition-free, any Methane Number is OK.
- Less methane slip

Con's:

- Higher gas pressure system
- Higher NOx

3.1 Natural gas (methane) combustion

• Lean-burn (Otto-cycle) type



Natural gas fueled ships in service (Ferry, off-shore supply vessel, etc., mainly in North Europe).



Key word :

<u>Methane number</u> (**MN**) : Anti-knocking number for natural gas To keep safe operation at high load, MN higher than 80 is desirable.



Function of medium-speed lean-burn gas engine

<i>Table 1:</i> <i>Categorization</i>	Lean-burn (pre-mixed) (low-pressure gas supply)	GI (Gas Injection) (high press. gas injection)
Medium-speed 4-st.	Currently all	Possible but not yet applied
Low-speed 2-st.	Existing	Existing
	Otto-cycle type	Diesel-cycle type
	gas engine	gas engine
	Pre-mixture (Natural Gas + Air)	Air

Pro's:

- Low pressure gas supply
- Low NOx

Con's:

- Output limited by knocking
 - Sensitive to Methane Number

Pro's:

- *Knocking-free,* pre-ignition-free, any Methane Number is OK.
- Less methane slip

Con's:

- Higher gas pressure system
- Higher NOx

At first, let's see a movie on knocking phenomena in <u>automobile</u> <u>gasoline engine</u> as a reference.

(Gasoline with high 'Octane Number' allows high compression ratio.)



Current Methane Number of natural gas in each area





Merit of DF ('Dual Fuel') engine

(An example of platform supply vessel in rough sea condition in the North Sea)

- Wartsila 32DF + Electric propulsion
- Escape from knocking caused by load fluctuation by availing DF system (Switching to diesel fuel from gas mode)



Function of liquid fuel injector for DF engine (for Wartsila DF engines • • Wartsila社資料)

The smaller holes are used for pilot injection at gas mode. When emergency has occurred at gas mode, fuel gas is stopped and full amount of heavy fuel injection starts from the larger holes.



Possibility of abnormal combustion for lean burn gas engine Wartsila company's data





How is the flame propagation and abnormal combustion in <u>lean-burn type gas engine</u>? Lean mixture burns with non-luminous flame. (Burning area looks black



Natural gas fueled large-sized ships in service (Car career and container vessel)



 United European Car Carriers (UECC) jointly owned by NYK and Wallenius Lines has ordered KHI two PCCs propelled by MAN low-speed ME-GI gas (DF) engine. (for voyage in European ECA)



• TOTE Line has ordered 3,100TEU container ships propelled by MAN low-speed ME-GI gas (DF) engine. (Route: Florida⇔ Puerto Rico)





Low-speed 2-stroke Lean-burn type test engine (IHI DU)

6 cylinders X-DF Bore x Stroke: 720 x 3086 mm MCR: 19350 kW @89 rpm BMEP: <u>17.3 bar</u>

CIMAC Helsinki 2016 | Paper No.136 Combustion Behavior in Largest 2-Stroke Gas Engine Takayuki Hirose, IHI Corporation



Figure 4: Gas admission valve section

Figure 11 λ distribution of fuel gas injected from the liner

2-stroke gas concepts – Low pressure DF (16 bar max.)

CIMAC 2016 • Paper No.207 "Study on Mixture Formation Process in Two Stroke Low Speed Premixed Gas Fueled Engine" Takahiro Kuge (IHI Corporation, Japan)

3.2 Natural Gas (Methane) high pressure injection + pilot

For GI (Gas Injection) type • • named 'Diesel cycle gas engine'

Merits : Free from knocking & abnormal combustion (Any MN is allowable.) Lower methane slip



Observation of Methane GI multi flames in air swirl

- GI flame compared to diesel flame
- GI flame under the lower oxygen air simulating EGR to reduce NOx



(Air: Pc 9.5 MPa, Tc 500 °C) **Diesel** (Gas Oil : Pinj. :135 MPa) GI (Methane • 31.5 MPa)





Thank you for your kind attention.



Fig. 11: Fuel price fluctuations for some gaseous fuels and conventional fuel during the last 13 years.